

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandran, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,618	04/21/2006	Ronny Schulz	KUK-06101	4328
24131 77590 07/17/2008 LERNER GREENBERG STEMER LLP P O BOX 2480			EXAM	IINER
			KIM, TAEYOON	
HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/576,618 SCHULZ ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Taevoon Kim -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

- If NO - Failu Any	O period for reply is specified above, the maximum ure to reply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three month led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	statutory period will apply and v bly will, by statute, cause the ap is after the mailing date of this c	ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, plication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). ommunication, even if timely filed, may reduce any			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) f	led on				
2a)□	This action is FINAL.	2b) This action is	non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>58-119</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	t) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)🖂	Claim(s) <u>58-119</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any ob	jection to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. N	ote the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a clair	n for foreign priority ur	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	All b) Some * c) None of:					
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the Internat		,			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmer	nt(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Tisclesure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/IR)			Paper No(s)/Mail Date			

Paper No(s)/Mail Date ___

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/576,618

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 58-119 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of group I (claims 70-119) in the reply filed on 6/16/2008 is acknowledged. However, it is regret to inform that the previous restriction has to be withdrawn due to the improper basis of restriction requirement and replaced by the current office action.

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

<u>Group I, claims 58-69</u>, drawn to a process for cultivation and stimulation of cell transplants in a GMP-conform bioreactor.

<u>Group II, claims 70-119</u>, drawn to a GMP-conform bioreactor for cultivation and stimulation of cell transplants.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The technical feature shared by Groups I and II invention is "a GMP-conform bioreactor".

The expression "special technical feature" refers to those features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over Application/Control Number: 10/576,618

Art Unit: 1651

the prior art. Thus, a feature found in the prior art cannot be considered to be a special technical feature.

Since the technical feature of "a GMP-conform bioreactor" is extremely well known in the art, it cannot be considered as "a special technical feature", and thus, the unity between groups I and II is lacking.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

Application/Control Number: 10/576,618

Art Unit: 1651

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Taeyoon Kim whose telephone number is (571)272-9041. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am - 4:00 pm ET (Mon-Thu).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Application/Control Number: 10/576,618 Page 5

Art Unit: 1651

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Leon B Lankford/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1651

Taeyoon Kim AU-1651